Distinct Effects of Δ9-Tetrahydrocannabinol and Cannabidiol on Neural Activation During Emotional Processing
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Context: Cannabis use can both increase and reduce anxiety in humans. The neurophysiological substrates of these effects are unknown.

Objective: To investigate the effects of 2 main psychoactive constituents of Cannabis sativa (Δ9-tetrahydrocannabinol [Δ9-THC] and cannabidiol [CBD]) on regional brain function during emotional processing.

Design: Subjects were studied on 3 separate occasions using an event-related functional magnetic resonance imaging paradigm while viewing faces that implicitly elicited different levels of anxiety. Each scanning session was preceded by the ingestion of either 10 mg of Δ9-THC, 600 mg of CBD, or a placebo in a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled design.

Participants: Fifteen healthy, English-native, right-handed men who had used cannabis 15 times or less in their life.

Main Outcome Measures: Regional brain activation (blood oxygenation level-dependent response), electrodermal activity (skin conductance response [SCR]), and objective and subjective ratings of anxiety.

Results: Δ9-Tetrahydrocannabinol increased anxiety, as well as levels of intoxication, sedation, and psychotic symptoms, whereas there was a trend for a reduction in anxiety following administration of CBD. The number of SCR fluctuations during the processing of intensely fearful faces increased following administration of Δ9-THC but decreased following administration of CBD. Cannabidiol attenuated the blood oxygenation level-dependent signal in the amygdala and the anterior and posterior cingulate cortex while subjects were processing intensely fearful faces, and its suppression of the amygdalar and anterior cingulate responses was correlated with the concurrent reduction in SCR fluctuations. Δ9-Tetrahydrocannabinol mainly modulated activation in frontal and parietal areas.

Conclusions: Δ9-Tetrahydrocannabinol and CBD had clearly distinct effects on the neural, electrodermal, and symptomatic response to fearful faces. The effects of CBD on activation in limbic and paralimbic regions may contribute to its ability to reduce autonomic arousal and subjective anxiety, whereas the anxiogenic effects of Δ9-THC may be related to effects in other brain regions.
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Ingestion of cannabis can modulate anxiety levels, although the direction of this effect is variable. Long-term cannabis use has been associated with anxiety symptoms,1,4 panic attacks,2 and an increased risk of anxiety disorders,6,8 although the latter remains controversial.9,10 Acute increases in anxiety can also occur following cannabis use.11,12 However, cannabis use can also lead to sedation and relaxation, with users often reporting that they take the drug to alleviate psychosocial stress, anxiety, and agoraphobia,2,13-15 increasing the likelihood of subsequent cannabis abuse.11,16 Also, patients with psychotic disorders report that they use cannabis to reduce the anxiety associated with psychotic symptoms.17,18 and increased anxiety is a feature of withdrawal from regular cannabis use.19 There is thus evidence that cannabis can have both anxiogenic and anxiolytic effects. These apparently conflicting observations may partly reflect the fact that Cannabis sativa contains multiple compounds that may have different psychoactive properties.20 In particular, Δ9-tetrahydrocannabinol (Δ9-THC) and cannabidiol (CBD) are the most abundant and both can modulate anxiety. Immediate administration of Δ9-THC can increase anxiety21 but has also been reported to reduce anxiety and improve sleep.22,23 This may parallel evidence from studies in experimental animals reporting that low doses of Δ9-THC have anxiolytic effects whereas high doses are anxiogenic.24-26 In contrast, CBD has anxiolytic effects in both animals and humans,27,28 and when coadministered with Δ9-THC, it can reduce the anxiety and psychotic symptoms induced...
by the latter cannabinoid. These differences in the behavioral effects of Δ9-THC and CBD are paralleled by differences in their mechanism of action at the molecular level. Δ9-Tetrahydrocannabinol binds to neuronal CB1 receptors, which are found on GABAergic and glutamatergic neurons throughout the brain and are thought to be receptors for endogenous anandamide. Since CBD has a very low affinity for the cannabinoid CB1 receptor and does not bind to benzodiazepine receptors, the molecular mechanisms underlying its anxiolyticlike activity are still unclear. It may activate vanilloid receptors and inhibit the cellular uptake and enzymatic hydrolysis of anandamide, activate serotonin 5HT1a receptors, and inhibit uptake of adenosine.

Functional neuroimaging provides a sensitive means of examining how cannabis acts on the brain. Although previous neuroimaging studies that have compared long-term cannabis users with healthy controls have demonstrated altered brain activity in prefrontal and cerebellar regions during cognitive tasks, no study has investigated the effect of cannabis on emotional processing. Moreover, comparisons of long-term cannabis users and healthy controls are confounded by demographic, psychiatric, and cognitive differences between these groups, and because cannabis comprises several different psychoactive ingredients, it is unclear which of its constituents are responsible for the findings. The aim of the present study was to use functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) to investigate the neurophysiological basis of the effects of cannabis on anxiety, focusing on Δ9-THC and CBD. We measured the effects of controlled doses of each compound on regional brain activity in healthy volunteers while they were viewing faces with fearful expressions that implicitly provoked anxiety. Subjects were scanned on 3 separate occasions, with each session preceded by ingestion of either Δ9-THC, CBD, or placebo, in a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled design. We recorded electrodermal skin conductance responses (SCRs) as a measure of autonomic arousal and assessed the severity of anxiety before, during, and after scanning using subjective and objective instruments. We expected that viewing fearful relative to neutral faces would be associated with activation in a distributed network of areas including extrastriate, prefrontal, cingulated, and medial temporal cortex and the amygdala and an altered electrodermal response. We tested the hypothesis that CBD would be associated with an attenuation of blood oxygenation level–dependent (BOLD) signal in response to fearful faces in the limbic and paralimbic components of this network (the amygdala and the para-hippocampal and cingulate cortex) and an attenuation of the electrodermal response. A further prediction was that these effects would not be evident with Δ9-THC, which if anything, would have effects in the opposite direction.

**METHODS**

**SUBJECTS**

Fifteen healthy, English-native, right-handed men (mean [SD] age, 26.67 [5.7] years; age range, 18-35 years) who had a lifetime exposure to cannabis of 15 times or less, with no cannabis use in the last month, no personal or family history of psychiatric illness, and no alcohol or other drug abuse (see later) or dependence were recruited through advertisement in the local media.

Mean (SD) IQ measured using the National Adult Reading Test was 98.67 (7.0). Cannabis and other illicit substance use was assessed using the Addiction Severity Index and drug abuse was defined as “moderate use of small quantities regularly or large amounts occasionally.” Participants were requested to abstain from any recreational drug use and medicines for the duration of the study, alcohol intake for 24 hours, and caffeine for 12 hours before each study day. Prior to each session, subjects had urine drug screen analyses for amphetamines, benzodiazepines, cocaine, methamphetamine, opiates, and Δ9-THC using immunometric assay kits. No participants had positive results. The study was approved by the local ethical committee and all participants gave their informed consent.

**EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN**

Each participant was scanned 3 times with a 1-month interval between scans. After at least 8 hours of fasting, subjects were instructed to have a light standardized breakfast 2 hours before the experiment. Prior to each scanning session, participants were given gelatin capsules of either 10 mg of Δ9-THC or 600 mg of CBD (both approximately 99.6% and 99.9% pure, respectively, and supplied by THC-Pharm, Frankfurt, Germany) or a capsule of placebo (flour).

These were identical in appearance and taste and neither the experimenters nor the participants knew what tablets were being administered in a double-blind procedure. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scans and electrodermal activity (SCRs) were taken between 1 and 2 hours after administration of the drug. Periodic (at baseline and 1, 2, and 3 hours postadministration) psychopathological ratings (mood, Visual Analogue Mood Scale [VAMS]; anxiety, Spielberger State-Trait Anxiety Inventory [STAI]; intoxication, Analogue Intoxication Scale [AIS]; psychotic symptoms, Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale [PANSS]) were collected in all participants. Prior to the experiment each volunteer had performed a training session completing all the scales. Blood samples were taken at the same points from an indwelling intravenous catheter in the nondominant arm of each participant to monitor the levels of drugs (CBD and Δ9-THC as measured in the whole blood by Trichotech, Cardiff, Wales). Heart rate and blood pressure were monitored continuously throughout the procedure. All these procedures were conducted by psychiatrists (P.F.P. and S.B) experienced in the clinical effects of Δ9-THC and CBD who monitored participant well-being during the entire session. No serious adverse events (death, hospitalization, emergency department visit) occurred during the study. Three subjects from the original samples (n=18) had a psychotic reaction (as assessed by the PANSS and clinical manifestation) to Δ9-THC administration and were excluded since they were unable to perform the tests (final sample, n=15). These subjects were followed up for 24 hours until the psychotic symptoms relieved. They were further monitored monthly and remained well, with no psychiatric or clinical symptoms.

**MRI PARADIGM**

Study subjects participated in one 6-minute experiment using event-related fMRI, where they were presented with 10 different facial identities, each expressing 50% (mildly fearful) or 100% (intensely fearful) intensities of fear or a neutral expression (Fig 1). The experimenters were instructed to present the images in the following way: Presentation of intense fear (intensely fearful) and neutral (neutral expressions of emotion: Stimuli and Tests). There were thus 30 different facial stimuli in total; each stimulus was presented twice for 2 seconds. Individuals therefore viewed 60 stimuli in total. The order of facial identities and expression...
type was pseudorandomized such that there was no success- 

itive presentation of the same identity or facial expression type. 

During the interstimulus interval, the duration of which was 

varied from 3 seconds to 8 seconds according to a Poisson dis- 

tribution with an average interval of 5.9 seconds, individuals 

viewed a fixation cross. They were requested to decide on the 

gender of face stimuli and press 1 of 2 buttons accordingly. 

Throughout image acquisition, accuracy and reaction times were 

monitored via button press and recorded on a PC. Other cog- 
nitive paradigms were completed at the same time, the results 
of which are not reported in this article.

**IMAGE ACQUISITION**

Images were acquired on a 1.5-T Sigma system (GE Health- 
care, Milwaukee, Wisconsin) at the Maudsley Hospital, Lon- 
don, England. T2*-weighted images were acquired with a rep- 
etition time of 2 seconds, echo time of 40 milliseconds, and flip 
angle of 90° in 16 axial planes (7 mm thick), parallel to the an- 
terior commissure–posterior commissure line. A high-
resolution inversion recovery image data set was also acquired 
to facilitate anatomical localization of activation.

**IMAGE PROCESSING AND ANALYSIS**

Functional MRI data were analyzed with statistical parametric map- 
ing software (SPM5; Wellcome Department of Cognitive Neu-
rology, London) running under the MATLAB7.1 environment. 

All volumes were realigned to the first volume, corrected for mo-
tion artifacts, mean adjusted by proportional scaling, normal-
ized into standard stereotactic space (template provided by the 
Montreal Neurological Institute), and smoothed using a 6-mm 
full-width-at-half-maximum gaussian kernel. The time series were 
resampled within-group SD was 0.035 and the anticipated minimal dif-
ference was 0.037; this resulted in a sample size of 12.

**SCR ANALYSIS**

Skin conductance was recorded during the fMRI scanning via a 
pair of silver-silver chloride electrodes with 0.05M sodium chlo-
ride gel placed on the distal phalanges of digits II and III of the 
nondominant hand. The electrode pairs were supplied by a con-
stant voltage and the current change representing conductance 
was recorded using the DC amplifier. The number, amplitude, 
and rise time of SCR fluctuations were recorded. A fluctuation 
was defined as an unambiguous increase (0.01 microsecond) with 
respect to each pretarget stimulus baseline and occurring 0.5 to 
3 seconds after the target face stimulus. The fluctuation ampi-
tude was measured as the difference in skin conductance level 
from the onset (the skin conductance measure before the first ris-
ing data point) to the fluctuation peak. The number and amplitu-
de of SCRs were scored using customized software that allows 
each SCR to be linked to the individual eliciting stimulus.

**STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

SPSS version 15.00 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, Illinois) was used to ana-
lyze performance and questionnaire data. Measures of task per-
formance, symptom ratings, physiological data, and drug levels 
were analyzed using repeated-measures analyses of variance to compare 
drug conditions. When significant differences were found, using 
a significance level of 95%, the Tukey test for pairwise compari-
sions was applied. Using power calculations, we estimated the num-
ber of subjects required for detecting significant differences in the 
amygdala between the placebo condition and the CBD condition 
with an α (type 1 error) of .05 and a power of 90%. The antici-
pated within-group SD was 0.035 and the anticipated minimal dif-
ference was 0.037; this resulted in a sample size of 12.

The physiological and behavioral results are based on rat-
ings made at 1 and 2 hours after drug administration, the 
period during which the fMRI data were acquired.

**PHYSIOLOGICAL AND BIOCHEMICAL RESULTS**

At 1 and 2 hours after drug administration, the mean (SD) 
blood levels of Δ9-THC were 3.9 (7.3) and 5.1 (5.6) ng/ 
ml, respectively, and the mean (SD) blood levels of CBD 
were 4.7 (7) and 17 (29) ng/mL. Compared with placebo, 
neither Δ9-THC nor CBD significantly affected heart rate 
or blood pressure at these points (P<.05), although we 
did identify a (nonsignificant) trend for an increase in heart 
rate with THC: 1.93 (SD 5.74) beats/min and 8.79 (SD 
16.31) beats/min at 1 and 2 hours after baseline.

**SYMPTOM RATINGS**

No significant differences were observed between the drug 
conditions at baseline for any symptom variable (P>.05). 
Pairwise comparisons revealed that mean anxiety (STAI), 
intoxication (AIS), sedation (VAMS mental sedation sub-

scale), PANSS positive symptoms subscale (Figure 1 ), 
PANSS negative symptoms subscale, PANSS general psy-
chopathology subscale, and PANSS total scores (eFigure, 
http://archgenpsychiatry.com) were significantly in-
creased following Δ9-THC as compared with placebo administra-
tion (P<.05). Compared with placebo, CBD 
administration did not significantly change subject rat-
ing on any of these measures. However, there was a trend 
(P=.06) for reduction in anxiety following CBD relative 

to placebo administration on the VAMS anxiety and tran-
quilization subscale. There was no statistically signifi-
cant effect of session order or an interaction effect (drug × session order) on behavioral symptoms.

**TASK PERFORMANCE**

**Cannabinoid**

Cannabinoid had no significant effect on gender discrimination relative to placebo. Participants were able to distinguish male and female faces with a mean (SD) accuracy of 83.45% (2.63%) following placebo administration and 83.44% (3.16%) following CBD administration ($t = 0.10; P = .99$). A main effect for valence was present ($F = 16.33; P < .001$); for both manipulations, accuracy was better for fearful than neutral faces (all $t$ tests $P < .05$). Cannabidiol had no significant effect on reaction times ($F = 0.241; P = .63$) (Figure 2). There was a significant main effect for valence ($F = 13.89; P < .01$); reaction time was significantly faster when processing intensely fearful faces than processing mildly fearful and neutral faces ($P < .05$). The interaction between valence and drug (placebo/CBD) was nonsignificant ($F = 0.79; P = .48$).

**Δ9-Tetrahydrocannabinol**

Δ9-Tetrahydrocannabinol had no effect on the ability of participants to distinguish male and female faces (mean [SD] accuracy of 83.45% [2.63%] following placebo administration and 82.49% [3.86%] following Δ9-THC administration; $t = -1.16; P = .27$). There was a significant effect for valence ($F = 12.63; P = .001$), with better accuracy when processing fearful than neutral faces (all $t$ tests $P < .05$), but no interaction between valence (neutral/mildly fearful/intensely fearful faces) and drug (placebo/Δ9-THC) ($F = 0.825; P = .46$).

Analysis of reaction times revealed that there was a significant effect for valence ($F = 7.56; P < .01$) but no significant effect for drug ($F = 0.155; P = .70$) and no interaction between valence and drug ($F = 0.22; P = .86$).

**SCR RESULTS**

**SCR Fluctuations**

Repeated-measures analyses of the effects of valence (neutral/mildly fearful/intensely fearful) and drug (CBD/placebo/Δ9-THC) revealed main effects of both valence ($F = 34.36; P < .01$) and drug ($F = 23.37; P < .01$) on the number of SCR fluctuations and a drug vs valence interaction ($F = 7.41; P < .05$). Post hoc paired $t$ tests revealed that, compared with placebo, Δ9-THC increased SCR fluctuations during the processing of both intensely and mildly fearful faces but not neutral faces.
Conversely, relative to placebo, CBD significantly decreased the number of SCR fluctuations during the processing of intensely fearful, but not mildly fearful or neutral, faces (P < .05) (Figure 3).

**SCR Amplitude**

Repeated-measures analysis revealed a main effect of valence on SCR amplitude (F = 4.88; P < .05), with a greater amplitude for intensely fearful than neutral faces (P < .05). There was also a main effect of drug (F = 6.75; P < .05) due to ∆9-THC increasing the amplitude of SCR relative to both CBD and placebo (P < .05). No significant interaction between drug and valence (F = 0.135; P > .05) was found.

**SCR Fluctuation Latency**

Neither drug (F = 0.582; P > .05) nor valence (F = 0.506; P > .05) had a significant effect on SCR fluctuation latency.

**fMRI RESULTS**

**Effect of Task (Independent of Drug)**

Viewing neutral faces was associated with bilateral activation in the cuneus, fusiform gyrus, inferior occipital gyrus, lingual gyrus, and cerebellum and deactivation in the posterior part of the bilateral superior temporal gyrus. Viewing mildly fearful faces was associated with bilateral activation in the fusiform gyrus, cuneus, lingual
gyrus, and cerebellum and in the left parahippocampal, postcentral, and medial frontal gyri. Viewing intensely fearful faces was associated with activation in the left cuneus, the right superior occipital gyrus, the cerebellum bilaterally, the left parahippocampal gyrus and amygdala, the anterior and posterior cingulate cortex, the left inferior and superior parietal lobule, and the right middle frontal, right inferior frontal, and left superior frontal gyri.

**Effects of CBD and Δ9-THC on Activation**

**CBD vs Placebo.** Cannabidiol did not significantly affect activation during the processing of neutral faces. During the processing of 50% fearful faces, CBD decreased activation in a region in the posterior lobe of the cerebellum bilaterally (lobule VI) but was not associated with any increases in activation (eTable). The most marked effects of CBD on activation were evident when subjects were processing intensely (100%) fearful faces. Cannabidiol attenuated the BOLD signal in a left medial temporal region, which included the amygdala and the adjacent part of the anterior parahippocampal gyrus, and in the anterior and posterior cingulate gyri, the left middle occipital gyrus, and the right posterior lobe of the cerebellum (Figure 4A and eTable). The attenuation of BOLD signal in both the left amygdala and the anterior cingulate significantly covaried with the number of SCR fluctuations while processing 100% fearful faces (Figure 4B). Covarying for AIS and STAI scores had no effect on the effect of Δ9-THC on activation in these or any of the other regions. During the processing of fearful faces (mildly plus intensely fearful), Δ9-THC decreased activation in the right inferior frontal gyrus, right superior temporal gyrus, and left medial frontal gyrus and increased activation in the left precuneus (eTable).

**Δ9-THC vs Placebo.** During the processing of neutral faces, Δ9-THC increased activation in a cluster spanning the posterior-middle temporal gyrus and the left inferior parietal lobule (x = −40, y = −56, z = −24; number of voxels = 123, z = 6.05) and was not associated with reduced activation in any region. During the processing of mildly fearful faces, Δ9-THC increased activation in the right inferior parietal lobule and was associated with decreased activation in the left medial frontal gyrus (eTable). During the processing of intensely fearful faces, Δ9-THC increased activation in the left precuneus and in the primary sensorimotor cortex bilaterally but decreased activation in the middle frontal gyrus bilaterally and in the posterior cingulate gyrus (Figure 5 and eTable). Covarying for STAI, PANSS, and AIS scores had no effect on the effect of Δ9-THC on activation in these or any of the other regions. During the processing of fearful faces (mildly plus intensely fearful), Δ9-THC decreased activation in the right inferior parietal lobule, right superior temporal gyrus, and left medial frontal gyrus and increased activation in the left precuneus (eTable).

**COMMENT**

The present study used fMRI to investigate the effects of the 2 main psychoactive constituents of *C sativa*, Δ9-THC and CBD, on the neural substrate of emotional processing. To our knowledge, this is the first time neuroimaging has been used to address this issue and the first time the effects of both Δ9-THC and CBD have been assessed in the same subjects.

We used an event-related paradigm with faces that implicitly elicited different levels of anxiety. As expected, processing fearful faces was associated with activation in a network of visual (precuneus, fusiform gyrus, lingual gyrus, cuneus, middle occipital gyrus), limbic (parahippocampal gyrus, amygdala), and paralimbic (posterior and anterior cingulated) regions that mediate the processing of facial emotion. These changes in activation were accompanied by changes in SCR that are typically seen with increased anxiety. These neural and electrophysiological effects were not attributable to effects of the drugs on performance or attention, as CBD and Δ9-THC did not significantly affect the speed or accuracy of performance on the gender discrimination task. The statistical power of fMRI data has been shown to be relatively robust even with small subject numbers. Functional neuroimaging techniques detect changes at the physiological level and are more sensitive than behavioral measures.

Our main hypothesis was that CBD would attenuate the BOLD response to fearful faces in limbic and paralimbic areas, as well as the accompanying electrodermal response, in line with its anxiolytic effects at the behavioral level. Consistent with this prediction, CBD attenuated BOLD signal in response to intensely fearful faces in the amygdala and the anterior and posterior cingulate cortex, regions that play a crucial role in mediating responses to anxiogenic stimuli (see later). Fearful faces presented as in the present study, in which they alternate with neutral faces, provoke a transient anxious response to each stimulus without necessarily producing a persistent elevation in anxiety. This may explain why CBD did not have a significant effect on the ratings of anxiety during the course of the experiment, consis-
tent with evidence that CBD can only reduce anxiety if
it is already elevated.20,27,31,32,40,60 Nevertheless, there was
a trend for reduced subjective anxiety following CBD rela-
tive to placebo administration on the VAMS anxiety and
tranquilization subscale.

The amygdala is normally activated when subjects are
presented with fearful compared with neutral faces,61-66
and patients with amygdalar lesions are impaired at rec-
ognizing fearful faces71 and show abnormal electroder-
mal responses.66,69 Although making gender judgments
about faces, the explicit requirement of the task we used,
may also activate the amygdala,70 this was a component
of all conditions and is therefore unlikely to have ac-
counted for the effect of CBD relative to placebo. The effect
of CBD on activation was significant in the left, but not
the right, amygdala. Previous studies suggest that the pro-
cessing of negative faces preferentially involves the left
amygdala,52,71 while activation in the right amygdala has
been associated with processing exaggerated64 or masked
facial expressions of fear,72 auditory presentations of fear,64
and aversive tastes.73 The correlation between the mag-
nitude of the effect of CBD on the amygdalar response
to fearful faces and its effect on the electrodermal re-
sponse to the same stimuli is consistent with evidence
that electrical stimulation of the amygdala enhances the
SCR in experimental animals46 and that the SCR during
emotional processing in humans is correlated with ac-
tivity in the amygdala.46,47,74

Cannabidiol also modulated the response to fearful
faces in the anterior and posterior cingulate cortex. The

Figure 4. Effect of cannabidiol (CBD) on the brain activation during processing of intensely fearful faces. A, Functional overlay: placebo > CBD. Left side of the
Figure is the left side of the brain. B, Correlation between blood oxygenation level–dependent response in the left amygdala during the processing of intensely
fearful faces (placebo > CBD) and skin conductance response (SCR).
The anterior cingulate cortex is anatomically connected to the amygdala, and neuroimaging studies in humans indicate that the anterior cingulate cortex is engaged with the amygdala in response to fear and anxiety. Our findings of effects of CBD in the amygdala and cingulate cortex are consistent with those in the only previous neuroimaging study involving CBD. Using single-photon emission tomography, that study found that CBD modulated resting activity in the left amygdala and the left posterior cingulate among other brain areas, in association with an anxiolytic effect.

Although this was not predicted, we also found that CBD modulated activation in the posterior lobule of the cerebellum (lobule VI) during the processing of fearful faces. There is increasing evidence that the cerebellum plays a role in emotional processing. Patients with lesions in the posterior lobule of the cerebellum (the "cognitive cerebellum") can experience a flattening or blunting of emotions, and cerebellar activation has been observed in lobule VI in response to externally generated emotions such as happiness, sadness, or disgust. This region has also been implicated in conditioned fear, which is attenuated by CBD in animal models. Abnormalities in resting cerebellar activity have been reported in neuroimaging studies of regular cannabis users, but as this has also been evident in studies involving THC, it is unclear whether the findings in cannabis users were related to an effect of CBD.

The mechanism of action of CBD at the molecular level is still unclear. Anxiogenic situations may lead to the release of the endogenous cannabinoid anandamide in the amygdala; anandamide may in turn influence emotional states by regulating outputs from the amygdala to other brain regions. Cannabidiol inhibits the hydrolysis of anandamide in mouse brain microsomes and the carrier-mediated cellular uptake of anandamide in mast cells, suggesting that administration of CBD may enhance endogenous anandamide activity. Overall, the production of anandamide by amygdalar activation in response to fear could be part of a negative feedback system that limits anxiety and participates in the control of anxious states, and it has been suggested that anandamide hydrolysis may be a new target for antianxiety drugs.

As predicted, none of the earlier-mentioned effects of CBD on the amygdalar, cingulate, and electrodermal responses to fearful faces were evident following administration of Δ9-THC. Indeed, Δ9-THC had the opposite effect of CBD on the SCR and was associated with an increase in anxiety, rather than an anxiolytic effect. The effects of Δ9-THC on regional activation were largely in a quite different set of brain regions, primarily in the frontal and parietal cortex, and its effects were not correlated with its influence on skin conductance or anxiety. These observations are consistent with data from previous neuroimaging studies using Δ9-THC, which have mainly reported effects on resting activity in frontal and cerebellar regions, as opposed to limbic areas. Δ9-Tetrahydrocannabinol has more extensive symptomatic and cognitive effects than CBD, which extend beyond emotional processing, including the induction of psychotic symptoms, impaired memory, and motor function. Its effects on regional activation may be more evident in functional imaging studies involving tasks that engage these processes as opposed to emotional processing. Subjective responses to Δ9-THC intoxication vary widely based on...
Cannabidiol and ∆9-THC had distinct modulatory effects on the regional neural response to fearful faces. Cannabidiol attenuated the neurofunctional engagement of the amygdala and cingulate cortex when subjects viewed intensely fearful stimuli and this effect was correlated with a reduction in the electrodermal response, consistent with behavioral evidence that it has anxiolytic effects. In contrast, ∆9-THC modulated activation in frontal and parietal areas and was associated with an increase in anxiety and the electrodermal response.
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